

STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Thursday, 10 December 2015 at 7.00 p.m.

Council Chamber, 1st Floor, Town Hall, Mulberry Place, 5 Clove Crescent, London, E14 2BG

UPDATE REPORT

This meeting is open to the public to attend.

Contact for further enquiries:

Zoe Folley, Democratic Services

1st Floor, Town Hall, Mulberry Place, 5 Clove Crescent, E14 2BG

Tel: 020 7364 4877

E-mail: Zoe.Folley@towerhamlets.gov.uk

Web: http://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/committee

Scan this code for electronic agenda:



PAGE NUMBER(S)

1 - 8

WARD(S) AFFECTED Weavers

6 .1 Bishopsgate Goods Yard, Braithwaite Street, E1



LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

10th December 2015

UPDATE REPORT OF HEAD OF PLANNING AND BUILDING CONTROL

Bishopsgate Goods Yard, Braithwaite Street, E1

Report of:	Title:
Director of Development and Renewal	Applications for part outline and part full Planning Permission and Listed Building Consent.
Case Officer: Richard Humphreys	Recommendations: To agree observations to the Mayor of London on applications for part outline and part full Planning Permission and Listed Building Consent.
	Tower Hamlets Refs: PA/14/02011 & PA/14/02096 LB Hackney Refs: 2014/2425 & 2014/2427. GLA Ref. D&P/1200B&C/JPC
	Ward: Weavers

1.0 Typographical errors

- 1.1 Refusal Reason 5 page 15 'Site design principles'. Last line should read 'Cygnet Lane' not 'Cygnet Street.'
- 1.2 Financial contribution c) page 16 missing word 'Hamlets.'
- 1.3 Paragraph 6.2 page 27. A synopsis of the Bishopsgate Goods Yard IPG is provided in 'Section 7' of the report not 'Section 10.'
- 1.4 Paragraph 9.3 page 54. Last line should read 'the social infrastructure' not 'social the infrastructure.'
- 1.5 Paragraph 10.88 page 73. 2nd line should read 'Cygnet Lane' not 'Cygnet Street.'
- 1.6 Paragraph 10.149 page 83. Cross reference to guidance provided by the Bishopsgate Goods Yard IPG should refer to 'paragraphs 7.22 to 7.38' of the report not 'paragraphs 10.22 to 10.35.'
- 1.7 Paragraph 10.174 page 89. Line 4 should read 'the setting of the Tower of London WHS'.
- 1.8 Paragraph 10.178 page 90. Superfluous 'are not considered' in line 5. The sentence should read:

"Whilst the harm caused is considered less than substantial, the public benefits arising from the redevelopment of the Goods Yard are not considered to outweigh that harm and could accrue from lower buildings that would not impinge on the WHS."

- 1.9 Paragraph 10.306 page 110. Possible Heads of Agreement appear at 'paragraph 3.2' of the report not 'paragraph 1.12.'
- 1.10 Paragraph 10.313 page 111. Line 1 should read 'current' not 'currently.'

2.0 Additional representations

- 2.1 The Hackney Society has written to both LBH and LBTH objecting to the planning application. Material representations may be summarised as:
 - Planners should seek to limit any detailed decisions to narrow timescales and include review mechanisms by way of conditions.
 - This massive development causes significant harm most notably to the environment.
 - The internal development area is enclosed in a scheme whose scale and massing is locally unprecedented. The commitment to providing any social benefits is minimal. The levels of affordable housing are contrary to the development plan and amount to the largest lost opportunity for providing affordable homes and social mix that London has seen in recent years. It is a decision that will have an effect for generations to come.
 - The viability reports are hidden.
 - By building so high and so densely the accommodation offered may not be suitable as long term social rented family housing. It is patently designed to for temporary or short terms stays in central London.
 - The application fails to come even close to the Bishopsgate Goods Yard IPG's 35% target for affordable homes though exceeding its height and massing guidance by some margin.
 - On this long phased delivery it will be practically impossible to anticipate what might be financially and logistically deliverable towards the end of the scheme.
 - The developer must be asked to return with an understandable, piecemeal scheme that hits the Planning Guidance targets more squarely.

3.0 Air quality

- 3.1 'Internal consultation' paragraph 8.62 advises that no comments had been received from Environmental Protection regarding Air Quality. Paragraphs 10.272 to 10.274 go on to report the findings of the applicant's submitted Environmental Statement (ES) regarding the impact of the proposed development on air quality.
- 3.2 The ES concludes that the development would not have a significant impact on air quality and is considered consistent with London Plan Policy 7.14 'Improving air quality' and Tower Hamlets Core Strategy Policy SP03 'Creating healthy and liveable neighbourhoods' that seek to achieve reductions in pollutant emissions and minimise public exposure to pollution.

- 3.3 LBTH Environmental Protection Service has subsequently advised that the Air Quality Neutral Requirements of the Mayor's London Plan Policy 7.14 are not met. The ES Technical Appendix N details the Air Quality Neutral Assessment & Table 6 shows that the proposed building emissions do not meet the calculated building emissions benchmark.
- 3.4 For nitrogen dioxide and particles (specifically PM10) the Council has designated an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) across the borough that sets air quality objectives.
- 3.5 The results and impacts of the proposed development are set out at ES Table 12.29 which shows Change in Air Quality Statistics predicted with and without the development in year 2032. Within LBTH this shows that there will be an increase of 0.5 μgm⁻³ NO2 at receptor R25a (32-42 Bethnal Green Road) that is claimed to represent a small adverse impact. In this location, Environmental Protection class even a small adverse impact as a significant impact as ES Table 12.26 states that the predicted annual NO2 concentration in the 'with development scenario' at this receptor is 57.33 μgm⁻³. This vastly exceeds the annual Air Quality Objective within the Air Quality Action Plan and an increase of this magnitude is unacceptable.
- 3.6 The energy centre emissions are expected to have adverse impacts on 12 of the modelled receptors. Of these locations, 9 receptors are within LBTH at the buildings proposed at Plots C and E. The highest would be an increase of 8 μgm⁻³ which is a 'major adverse impact.' The other impacts are 'minor adverse.' It is noted that only a limited number of floors have been modelled and it is likely that other floors would experience similar or more severe impacts.
- 3.7 Overall, the impacts associated with the energy centres are too high to be acceptable. Other impacts such as the residential exposure could be dealt with via conditions. It is recommended that the energy centres be reexamined to reduce the emissions impacts attributed with them and to meet the Air Quality Neutral emissions of the development plan. It is likely that either less polluting engines or emissions mitigation equipment would need to be installed.

4.0 Planning obligations - Heads of Agreement

- 4.1 The Mayor of London's representation hearing has now been scheduled for 10.00 am on 26th February 2016.
- 4.2 Paragraph 3.2 of the Committee report recommends without prejudice that should the Mayor of London decide to grant planning permission this should be subject to the prior completion of a legal agreement with the developer to secure planning obligations set out under Heads a) to y).
- 4.3 The Greater London Authority has instructed solicitors (Ashurst) to commence preparatory work on the format of a section 106 agreement that would be required in the event that the Mayor decide to grant planning permission. Officers of both LBH and LBTH have been invited to participate in this process but both boroughs have informed the GLA & Ashurst that they are

unable to participate in these discussions until after their respective committee meetings this evening.

- 4.4 Both boroughs together with the GLA would need to be parties to any section 106 agreement. Advanced involvement in the format of any section 106 agreement would not affect the Mayor of London's decision on the applications and would not prejudice the borough's position.
- 4.5 Regardless of the decision of members in respect of the application itself; officers seek authority from the Strategic Development Committee to both negotiate and, in the event that the Mayor decides to grant planning permission, to enter into a section 106 agreement for the proposed development.

5.0 RECOMMENDATION

Observations to the Mayor of London on application for Planning Permission (PA/14/02011)

5.1 Officer's recommendation that the Committee resolves to inform the Mayor of London that were it empowered to determine the application for planning permission the Council would have **REFUSED** permission remains unchanged **SAVE** that a seventh reason is added regarding failure to achieve policy complaint air quality.

Additional Refusal Reason No. 7

The submitted Environmental Assessment fails to demonstrate that the proposed development would be air quality neutral. There would be significant adverse impacts on air quality that would not be mitigated. This includes increasing air quality levels at existing residential receptors and significant impacts associated with the energy centres. This is inconsistent with the air quality objectives of the Tower Hamlets Air Quality Action Plan, the Mayor's London Plan Policy 7.14 'Improving air quality' and Tower Hamlets Core Strategy Policy SP03 'Creating healthy and liveable neighbourhoods.'

Planning obligations - Heads of Agreement

- 5.2 To grant officers authority to negotiate and, in the event that the Mayor of London decides to grant planning permission, enter into a section 106 agreement for the proposed development.
- To negotiate and secure the delivery of the maximum viable amount of affordable housing on site in accordance with the requirements of the Mayor's London Plan Policy 3.8 'Housing choice,' Policy 3.11 'Affordable housing targets,' Policy 3.12 'Negotiating Affordable Housing on Individual Private Residential and Mixed Use Sites, Tower Hamlets Core Strategy Policy SP02 'Urban living for everyone and the Managing Development Document Policy DM3 'Delivering homes.'

Drawings

The list of drawings set out in Section 1 of the Committee Report in incomplete. The following is a complete list of the submitted drawings:

Plots A, B, D & E	Access Plans
	PL(9)1027
Existing Plans	PL(9)1028
PL(9)1000	PL(9)1029
PL(9)1001	PL(9)1030
PL(9)1002	PL(9)1031
PL(9)1003	PL(9)1032
Parameter Plans	Masterplans
PL(9)1004	PL(9)1100
PL(9)1005	PL(9)1101
PL(9)1006	PL(9)1102
PL(9)1007	PL(9)1103
PL(9)1008	
PL(9)1009	Listed Building
PL(9)1010	Application
PL(9)1011	Extent of Red
PL(9)1012	Line
PL(AB)100	BGY11-PA-03-301
PL(AB)50	
PL(D)100	Constraints
PL(D)110	Drawings
PL(D)50	PL(9)1200
PL(E)100	PL(9)1201
PL(E)110	PL(9)1202
PL(E)50	PL(9)1203
PL(HÍJ)100	
PL(HIJ)110	
PL(HIJ)50	
PL(K)50	
PL(K)100	
PL(9)1033	
PL(9)1034	
PL(9)1035	
PL(9)1036	

Plot C, F & G	PLP-1207-A-C-200 Rev P01
	PLP-1207-A-C-201 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-M-000 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-202 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-M-010 Rev P00	PLP-1207-A-C-203 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-M-020 Rev P00	PLP-1207-A-C-204 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-M-021 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-251 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-M-022 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-252 Rev P01
	PLP-1207-A-C-253 Rev P01
Plot C	PLP-1207-A-C-254 Rev P01
	PLP-1207-A-C-255 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-100 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-260 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-100-M Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-261 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-101 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-262 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-102 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-263 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-103 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-264 Rev P01

PLP-1207-A-C-104 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-265 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-105 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-301 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-105-M Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-302 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-106 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-303 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-107 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-304 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-108 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-305 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-109 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-C-340 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-123	PLP-1207-A-C-350 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-124	PLP-1207-A-C-360 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-125	PLP-1207-A-C-370 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-126	PLP-1207-A-C-390 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-C-127 Rev P01	
PLP-1207-A-C-128 Rev P01	
PLP-1207-A-C-129 Rev P01	
PLP-1207-A-C-130 Rev P01	
PLP-1207-A-C-131 Rev P01	
PLP-1207-A-C-132 Rev P01	
PLP-1207-A-C-189 Rev P00	
1 L1 1201-A-0-100 NCV 1 00	

Plot F & G	PLP-1207-A-G-103 Rev P01
	PLP-1207-A-G-104 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-FG-999 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-105 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-FG-1000 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-106 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-FG-1001 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-107 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-FG-1002 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-108 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-103 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-109 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-104 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-110 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-105 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-111 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-106 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-112 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-107 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-113 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-108 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-114 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-109 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-115
PLP-1207-A-F-110 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-116
PLP-1207-A-F-111 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-117
PLP-1207-A-F-112 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-118
PLP-1207-A-F-113 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-119
PLP-1207-A-F-114 Rev P01	PLP-1207-A-G-120
PLP-1207-A-F-115	PLP-1207-A-G-121
PLP-1207-A-F-116	PLP-1207-A-G-122
PLP-1207-A-F-117	PLP-1207-A-G-123
PLP-1207-A-F-118	PLP-1207-A-G-124
PLP-1207-A-F-119	PLP-1207-A-G-125
PLP-1207-A-F-120	PLP-1207-A-G-126
PLP-1207-A-F-121	PLP-1207-A-G-127
PLP-1207-A-F-122	PLP-1207-A-G-128
PLP-1207-A-F-123	PLP-1207-A-G-129
PLP-1207-A-F-124	PLP-1207-A-G-130
PLP-1207-A-F-125	PLP-1207-A-G-131
PLP-1207-A-F-126	PLP-1207-A-G-132
PLP-1207-A-F-127	PLP-1207-A-G-133
PLP-1207-A-F-128	PLP-1207-A-G-134
PLP-1207-A-F-129	PLP-1207-A-G-135
PLP-1207-A-F-130	PLP-1207-A-G-136
PLP-1207-A-F-131	PLP-1207-A-G-137

PLP-1207-A-F-132	PLP-1207-A-FG-200 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-133	PLP-1207-A-FG-201 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-134	PLP-1207-A-FG-202 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-135	PLP-1207-A-FG-203 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-136	PLP-1207-A-FG-204 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-137	PLP-1207-A-FG-211 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-138	PLP-1207-A-FG-212 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-139	PLP-1207-A-FG-213 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-140	PLP-1207-A-FG-214 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-141	PLP-1207-A-FG-251 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-142	PLP-1207-A-FG-252 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-143	PLP-1207-A-FG-253 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-144	PLP-1207-A-FG-254 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-145	
PLP-1207-A-F-146	PLP-1207-A-FG-310 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-147	PLP-1207-A-FG-311 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-148	PLP-1207-A-FG-320 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-F-149	PLP-1207-A-FG-330 Rev P01
PLP-1207-A-G-138	
PLP-1207-A-G-139	
PLP-1207-A-G-140	
PLP-1207-A-G-141	

Plots H, I, J & L	Plot H
Existing drawings	Existing Building Drawings
PL(E)500	PLH(E)000
PL(E)501	PLH(E)100
PL(E)502	PLH(E)200
	PLH(E)10-11
Proposed drawings	PLH(E)12-13
PL(9)500	PLH(E)14
PL(9)501	PLH(E)15-16
PL(9)502	PLH(E)50
	PLH(E)51
Shopfronts and Railside Units	PLH(E)52
Proposed	PLH(E)53
PC(31)1	PLH(E)54
PC(31)2	PLH(E)55
PC(31)3	
PC(31)4	Proposed Building Drawings
PC(31)5	PLH(0)000
PC(31)6	PLH(0)100
PC(31)7	PLH(0)200
PC(31)8	PLH(0)10-11
PC(31)9	PLH(0)12-13
PC(31)10	PLH(0)14
PC(31)50	PLH(0)15-16
	PLH(0)50
	PLH(0)51
	PLH(0)52
	PLH(0)53
	PLH(0)54
	PLH(0)55

Plot I	Proposed Building Drawings
	PLI(0)100
Existing Building Drawings	PLI(0)200
PLI(E)100	PLI(0)10-11
PLI(E)200	PLI(0)12-13
PLI(E)10-11	PLI(0)14-15
PLI(E)12-13	PLI(0)50
PLI(E)14-15	PLI(0)51
PLI(E)50	PLI(0)52
PLI(E)51	PLI(0)53
PLI(E)52	
PLI(E)53	

Plot J	Proposed Building Drawings
	PLJ(0)100
Existing Building Drawings	PLJ(0)200
PLJ(E)100	PLJ(0)10-11
PLJ(E)200	PLJ(0)12-13
PLJ(E)10-11	PLJ(0)14-15
PLJ(E)12-13	PLJ(0)50
PLJ(E)14-15	PLJ(0)51
PLJ(E)50	PLJ(0)52
PLJ(E)51	
PLJ(E)52	

Plot L	Proposed Building Drawings
	PLL(0)100-200
Existing Building Drawings	PLL(0)10-11
PLL(E)100-200	PLL(0)12-13
PLL(E)10-11	PLL(0)50
PLL(E)12-13	PLL(0)51
PLL(E)50	PLL(0)52
PLL(E)51	PLL(0)53
PLL(E)52	PLL(0)54
PLL(E)53	PLL(0)55
PLL(E)54	
PLL(E)55	

Public Realm & Landscaping	8182-205
	8182-206
8182-201	8182-207
8182-203	8182-208
8182-204	8182-209